

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

GORDON NOBORU YAMAGATA and  
STAMATIS F. PELARDIS, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RECKITT BENCKISER LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:17-cv-03529-VC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL  
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION  
SETTLEMENT AND AWARDED  
ATTORNEYS’ FEES, REIMBURSEMENT  
OF EXPENSES, AND SERVICE AWARDS  
FOR CLASS REPRESENTATIVES**

**CLASS ACTION**

District Judge Vince Chhabria  
Courtroom 4, 17th Floor

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

1 This matter came on for hearing on October 28, 2021, at 10:00 am. The Court has considered  
2 the Settlement Agreement filed on May 12, 2021 (the “Settlement Agreement”). *See* ECF No. 221-  
3 2.

4 On June 19, 2017, plaintiffs Gordon Noboru Yamagata and Stamatis F. Pelardis filed a class  
5 action complaint against Reckitt Benckiser in the United States District Court for the Northern  
6 District of California captioned *Yamagata v. Reckitt Benckiser, LLC*, Case No. 3:17-cv-03529-VC,  
7 on behalf of themselves and all other consumers who purchased Reckitt Benckiser Move Free  
8 Advanced products. On October 22, 2018, a related class action was filed by plaintiff Maureen  
9 Carrigan against Reckitt Benckiser in the United States District Court for the Northern District of  
10 Illinois captioned *Carrigan v. Reckitt Benckiser, LLC*, Case No. 1:18-cv-07073. On March 2, 2021,  
11 Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Class Action Complaint in this action alleging a nationwide class  
12 and including Yamagata, Pelardis, Maureen Carrigan, Lori Coletti, Ann-Marie Maher, Carol  
13 Marshall, Deborah A. Rawls, Oneita Steele, and Maxine Tishman as named plaintiffs.

14 The parties have engaged in substantial litigation and discovery, including expert discovery  
15 and were about to file pretrial motions in advance of a March 22, 2021 trial in this Court. In the  
16 course of litigation and in preparation for trial: (i) Plaintiffs’ Counsel reviewed over 303,000 pages  
17 of hard-copy and electronic documents produced by Reckitt Benckiser; (ii) over 7,500 pages of  
18 documents obtained as the result of more than twenty subpoenas Plaintiffs’ Counsel served on third  
19 party retailers of Move Free Advanced, Defendant’s ingredient supplier, and scientists and  
20 researchers who conducted studies on Move Free Advanced; (iii) Plaintiffs’ Counsel submitted nine  
21 expert declarations in connection with class certification, summary judgment and motions to exclude  
22 testimony, and eleven expert reports pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 from seven  
23 experts on issues relating to advertising and marketing, scientific evidence on the inefficacy of Move  
24 Free Advanced, and damages; (iv) Defendant’s Counsel submitted ten expert reports and  
25 declarations pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 from eight experts on the above issues;  
26 (v) the Parties collectively deposed 30 witnesses (25 by Plaintiffs and five by Defendant); and  
27 (vi) the Parties have engaged in extensive motion practice, including class certification and  
28 summary judgment. *See* ECF No. 221-1 at ¶¶ 4-32.

1 The Parties also participated in numerous arm's-length settlement mediations at different  
2 stages throughout the litigation, including seven formal and numerous informal mediation and  
3 settlement negotiation sessions before three different neutrals: the Honorable Wayne R. Anderson  
4 (Ret.), the Hon. Jacqueline Corley, and with Robert A. Meyer, Esq. Throughout the course of  
5 mediation efforts, the Parties were simultaneously engaging in the discovery and litigation efforts  
6 described above. ECF No. 221-1 at ¶¶ 33-37.

7 Under the Settlement, Defendant will create a \$50,000,00.00 common fund. This all-cash,  
8 non-reversionary common fund will be distributed to compensate Plaintiffs' Counsel their fees and  
9 expenses, as awarded by the Court, to pay Class Representative service awards, as awarded by the  
10 Court, to pay the Court-appointed Settlement Administrator for notice and settlement administration  
11 costs, to provide cash payments to Class Members, and to distribute any remainder, if any, to the *cy*  
12 *pres* recipient.

13 A hearing was held before this Court on June 24, 2021, on the Motion for Preliminary  
14 Approval. *See* ECF No. 221. The Court granted the motion. *See* ECF No. 227. Before the Court is  
15 the last stage of the settlement approval process: final approval of the Settlement. Plaintiffs have  
16 separately moved approval of attorneys' fees and expenses and service awards for the Class  
17 Representatives. *See* ECF No. 230.

18 At the final approval hearing, Timothy G. Blood and Thomas J. O'Reardon II of Blood Hurst  
19 & O'Reardon, LLP appeared for Plaintiffs and the Class, and David Biderman and Jasmine  
20 Wetherell of Perkins Coie LLP appeared for Defendant.

21 An opportunity to be heard having been given to all other persons desiring to be heard as  
22 provided in the Class Notice and having considered all of the submissions and arguments, and good  
23 cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

24 1. This Final Order incorporates herein and makes a part hereof the Settlement  
25 Agreement, including the Exhibits thereto, and incorporates by reference the definitions in the  
26 Settlement Agreement, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the  
27 Settlement Agreement unless set forth differently herein.

28

1           2.       The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, and all Parties to the  
2 action for purpose of settlement, including all Class Members.

3           3.       Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Court certifies the following  
4 Settlement Class for settlement purposes, only:

5           All persons who purchased within the United States and its territories Move Free  
6 Advanced, Move Free Advanced Plus MSM, or Move Free Advanced Plus MSM  
7 & Vitamin D, other than solely for purposes of resale, from May 28, 2015 to June  
8 24, 2021.

8           Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i) jurists and mediators who are or have  
9 presided over the Action, Plaintiffs’ Counsel and Defendant’s Counsel, their  
10 employees, legal representatives, heirs, successors, assigns, or any members of  
11 their immediate family; (ii) any government entity; (iii) Reckitt Benckiser and any  
12 entity in which Reckitt Benckiser has a controlling interest, any of its subsidiaries,  
13 parents, affiliates, and officers, directors, employees, legal representatives, heirs,  
14 successors, or assigns, or any members of their immediate family; and (iv) any  
15 persons who timely opt-out of the Settlement Class.

16           4.       Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a), the Court finds Plaintiffs Gordon  
17 Noboru Yamagata, Stamatis F. Pelardis, Maureen Carrigan, Lori Coletti, Ann-Marie Maher, Carol  
18 Marshall, Deborah A. Rawls, Oneita Steele, and Maxine Tishman are members of the Settlement  
19 Class, their claims are typical of the Settlement Class, and they fairly and adequately protected the  
20 interests of the Settlement Class throughout their involvement in this action. *Hanlon v. Chrysler*  
21 *Corp.*, 150 F.3d 1011, 1020 (9th Cir. 1998). Accordingly, the Court hereby appoints Gordon Noboru  
22 Yamagata, Stamatis F. Pelardis, Maureen Carrigan, Lori Coletti, Ann-Marie Maher, Carol Marshall,  
23 Deborah A. Rawls, Oneita Steele, and Maxine Tishman as Class Representatives for the Settlement  
24 Class.

25           5.       The Court finds that the Settlement Class meets all requirements of Federal Rules of  
26 Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) for certification of the claims alleged in the First Amended Class  
27 Action Complaint, including: (a) numerosity; (b) commonality; (c) typicality; (d) adequacy of the  
28 class representative and Class Counsel; (e) predominance of common questions of fact and law  
among the Settlement Class; and (f) superiority. *See Yamagata v. Reckitt Benckiser LLC*, No. 17-  
cv-03529-VC, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 117599 (N.D. Cal. June 5, 2019) (granting class certification).

1           6.       Having considered the factors set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)(1),  
2 the Court makes final its appointment of Timothy G. Blood and Thomas J. O'Reardon II of Blood  
3 Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP as Class Counsel to represent the Class Members. *Staton v. Boeing*, 327  
4 F.3d 938, 957 (9th Cir. 2003). Class Counsel from Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP are qualified  
5 and experienced in class action litigation, including in prosecuting false advertising cases. *See* ECF  
6 No. 221-4 (Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP firm resume).

7           7.       The Court finally confirms appointment of Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions  
8 as the Settlement Administrator. All fees and costs associated with the Class Notice Program and  
9 claims administration shall be paid by Defendant, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The  
10 Settlement Administrator is directed to perform all responsibilities assigned in the Settlement  
11 Agreement.

12           8.       The Court finds that the persons excluded from the Settlement Class because they  
13 filed valid requests for exclusion ("Opt-Outs") are identified in Exhibit A to this Order. These Class  
14 Members who filed timely, completed Opt-Outs are not bound by this Order and the accompanying  
15 Final Judgment or the terms of the Settlement Agreement and may pursue their own individual  
16 remedies against Defendant. However, such persons are not entitled to any rights or benefits  
17 provided to Class Members by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

18           9.       The Court directed that Class Notice be given to the Class Members pursuant to the  
19 notice program proposed by the Parties and approved by the Court. In accordance with the Court's  
20 Preliminary Approval Order and the Court-approved notice program, the Settlement Administrator  
21 caused the forms of Class Notice to be disseminated as ordered. The Long-form Class Notice  
22 advised Class Members of the terms of the Settlement Agreement; the Final Approval Hearing, and  
23 their right to appear at such hearing; their rights to remain in, or opt out of, the Settlement Class and  
24 to object to the Settlement Agreement; procedures for exercising such rights; and the binding effect  
25 of this Order and accompanying Final Judgment, whether favorable or unfavorable, to the  
26 Settlement Class.

27           10.      The distribution of the Class Notice pursuant to the Class Notice Program constituted  
28 the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and fully satisfies the requirements of Federal

1 Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, and any other  
2 applicable law. *Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacquelin*, 417 U.S. 156, 173 (1974); *Rodriguez v. West Publ'g*  
3 *Co.*, 563 F.3d 948, 962 (9th Cir. 2009).

4 11. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(2), the Court finds after a hearing  
5 and based upon all submissions of the Parties and interested persons, the Settlement Agreement  
6 proposed by the Parties is fair, reasonable, and adequate. In reaching this conclusion, the Court  
7 considered the record in its entirety and heard the arguments of counsel for the Parties and all other  
8 persons seeking to comment on the proposed Settlement Agreement. The Court has carefully  
9 considered the objections to the Settlement, including to Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees  
10 and expenses, and finds the objections are without merit, and they do not undermine the Court's  
11 determination herein that the Settlement as a whole "is fundamentally fair within the meaning of  
12 Rule 23(e)." *Lane v. Facebook, Inc.*, 696 F.3d 811, 818-19 (9th Cir. 2012). Accordingly, the  
13 objections are overruled. In addition, the Court has considered a number of factors, including: (1) the  
14 complexity, expense, and likely duration of the litigation; (2) the reaction of the Class Members to  
15 the Settlement Agreement; (3) the stage of the proceedings and the amount of discovery completed;  
16 (4) the risks of establishing liability; (5) the risks of establishing damages; (6) the risks of  
17 maintaining the class action through the trial; (7) the ability of Defendant to withstand a greater  
18 judgment; and (8) the reasonableness of the relief provided by the Settlement Agreement in light of  
19 the best possible recovery. *Officers for Justice v. Civil Serv. Comm'n*, 688 F.2d 615, 625 (9th Cir.  
20 1982); *Class Plaintiffs v. Seattle*, 955 F.2d 1268, 1291 (9th Cir. 1992); *Rodriguez*, 563 F.3d at 965.

21 12. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement are the product of lengthy,  
22 arms-length negotiations conducted in good faith and with the assistance of experienced mediators:  
23 the Honorable Wayne R. Anderson (Ret.), the Hon. Jacqueline Corley, and Robert A. Meyer, Esq.  
24 *Campbell v. Facebook, Inc.*, 951 F.3d 1106, 1122 (9th Cir. 2020). Approval of the Settlement  
25 Agreement will result in substantial savings of time, money and effort to the Court and the Parties,  
26 and will further the interests of justice.

1           13. All Class Members who have not timely and validly opted out are Class Members  
2 who are bound by this Order and accompanying Final Judgment and by the terms of the Settlement  
3 Agreement.

4           14. Nothing in the Settlement Agreement, this Order, the accompanying Final Judgment,  
5 or the fact of the settlement constitutes any admission by any of the Parties of any liability,  
6 wrongdoing or violation of law, damages or lack thereof, or of the validity or invalidity of any claim  
7 or defense asserted in the action.

8           15. The Court has considered the submissions by the Parties and all other relevant  
9 factors, including the result achieved and the efforts of Class Counsel and the other Plaintiffs'  
10 Counsel in prosecuting the claims on behalf of the Settlement Class. The efforts of Class Counsel  
11 and the other Plaintiffs' Counsel have produced the Settlement Agreement entered into in good faith,  
12 and which provides a fair, reasonable, adequate, and certain result for the Settlement Class. Class  
13 Counsel have made application for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses in  
14 connection with the prosecution of the action on behalf of themselves and the other Plaintiffs'  
15 counsel. *See* ECF No. 230. The fee award requested is 25% of the Common Fund. This amount is  
16 fair, reasonable, and adequate under the common fund doctrine, the range of awards ordered in this  
17 District and Circuit, the excellent results obtained, the substantial risk borne by Class Counsel and  
18 the other Plaintiffs' Counsel in litigating this matter, the degree of skill and quality of work  
19 performed, the financial burden imposed by the contingency basis of Class Counsel's and the other  
20 Plaintiffs' Counsel's representation of Plaintiffs and the Class, and the additional work required of  
21 Class Counsel and the other Plaintiffs' Counsel to bring this Settlement to conclusion. *Hanlon*, 150  
22 F.3d at 1029; *Six (6) Mexican Workers v. Ariz. Citrus Growers*, 904 F.2d 1301, 1311 (9th Cir. 1990);  
23 *In re Hyundai & Kia Fuel Econ. Litig.*, 926 F.3d 539, 570 (9th Cir. 2019). The Court finds the fee  
24 award is further supported by a lodestar crosscheck, whereby it finds that the hourly rates of  
25 Plaintiffs' Counsel are reasonable, and that the hours expended were reasonable. *Hyundai*, 926 F.3d  
26 at 570; *Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc.*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 224, 255 (2001). Accordingly, the Court  
27 hereby awards \$12,500,000.00 as attorneys' fees to be paid by the Defendant in accordance with the  
28



1 terms of the Settlement Agreement. Class Counsel shall be responsible for distributing and  
2 allocating the attorneys' fees and expenses award to Plaintiffs' Counsel in their sole discretion.

3 16. Five (5) percent of the attorneys' fees awarded will be held back pending the filing  
4 of a Post-Distribution Accounting by Class Counsel. The Post-Distribution Accounting shall be filed  
5 within twenty-one (21) days after the distribution of the settlement funds and should explain in detail  
6 when cash payments were sent to Class Members, the number of members who were sent payments,  
7 the total amount of payments paid out to Class Members, the average and median recovery per Class  
8 Member, the largest and smallest amounts of cash payments paid to Class Members, the number  
9 and value of cashed and uncashed checks, the amount distributed to any *cy pres* recipient, any  
10 significant or recurring concerns communicated by Class Members to the Settlement Administrator  
11 and counsel since final approval, and any other issues in settlement administration since final  
12 approval, and how any concerns or issues were resolved. Class Counsel are expected to diligently  
13 supervise the administration of the Settlement and remain in close contact with the Settlement  
14 Administrator. With the Post-Distribution Accounting, Class Counsel should submit a proposed  
15 order releasing the remainder of the fees.

16 17. Class Counsel have also made application for an award of litigation expenses in  
17 connection with the prosecution of the action on behalf of themselves and the other Plaintiffs'  
18 Counsel. Finding that such expenses were reasonably and necessarily incurred in prosecuting the  
19 action on behalf of the Settlement Class, the Court finally approves Class Counsel's request for  
20 litigation expenses in the amount of \$658,050.95, which is to be paid by the Defendant in accordance  
21 with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. *Staton*, 327 F.3d at 974; *In re Omnivision Techs.*, 559  
22 F. Supp. 2d 1036, 1048 (N.D. Cal. 2007).

23 18. Further, the Court approves service awards of \$7,500 each for Plaintiffs Gordon  
24 Noburo Yamagata, Stamatis F. Pelardis and Maureen Carrigan, and \$500 each for Plaintiffs Lori  
25 Coletti, Ann-Marie Maher, Carol Marshall, Deborah A. Rawls, Oneita Steele, and Maxine Tishman.  
26 The Class Representatives participated in the action, acted to protect the Settlement Class, and  
27 assisted their counsel. *See* ECF No. 230-1 at Ex. 1 (Class Representatives' declarations). These  
28 service awards, which are fair, reasonable, and justified, are to be paid in accordance with the terms



1 of the Settlement Agreement. *Rodriguez*, 563 F.3d at 958-59; *Galeener v. Source Refrigeration &*  
2 *HVAC, Inc.*, No. 3:13-cv-04960-VC, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 193096, at \*7-8 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 21,  
3 2015).

4 19. The Court has considered all relevant factors and hereby approves the Orthopaedic  
5 Research Society as the designated *cy pres* recipient of any monies (if any) remaining after the  
6 negotiation period of the Cash Payments in accordance with the Agreement. *See* ECF No. 203-3  
7 (Declaration of Brenda A. Frederick in Support of Cy Pres Designation of Orthopaedic Research  
8 Society); *Nachsin v. AOL, LLC*, 663 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2011); *Six (6) Mexican Workers*, 904 F.2d  
9 at 1305.

10 20. The Court hereby dismisses with prejudice this action, and all Released Claims  
11 against each and all Released Parties, and without costs to any of the Parties as against the others.

12 21. Without affecting the finality of this Order and the Final Judgment, the Court  
13 reserves jurisdiction over the implementation, administration, and enforcement of this Order, the  
14 Final Judgment and the Settlement Agreement, and all matters ancillary thereto.

15 22. The Court finding that no reason exists for delay in entering this Order and the Final  
16 Judgment pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 54(b), the Clerk is hereby directed to  
17 enter the Final Judgment forthwith.

18 23. The Parties and the Settlement Administrator are hereby directed and authorized to  
19 implement and consummate the Settlement according to the terms and provisions of the Settlement  
20 Agreement. In addition, the Parties, without further approval of the Court, are authorized to agree  
21 to and adopt such amendments and modifications to the Settlement Agreement so long as they are:  
22 (i) consistent in all material respects with this Final Order and the Final Judgment; and (ii) do not  
23 limit the rights of the Settlement Class.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25  
26  
27 \_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE VINCE CHHABRIA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EXHIBIT A**

**TIMELY REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION (“OPT-OUTS”)**

1. ANNA BIGUS
2. BARBARA BOYDSION
3. BARBARA J BABCOCK
4. BARBARA S DUDLEY
5. BARBARA STRICKLAND
6. BETTY G WEATHERBEE
7. BRENDA HODGEN
8. CAROL J STOEFFLER
9. CAROL MCGHEE
10. CAROLE DIAZ
11. CAROLYN A MOHR
12. CHRISTINE RINAUDO
13. CORAL GELATKA
14. DANIEL SIBELRUD
15. DAVID EYTCHISON
16. DEANNE PENNINGS
17. DIANE TOBIN
18. DIANNE VICKERY
19. DONALD P SPARKS
20. DONNA L CROTTY
21. DOYLE RUNYAN
22. ELVA G ST CLAIR
23. GARY E THOMPSON
24. GEORGE K MUIRU
25. HE WEI
26. HELEN WANG
27. HITASHA MITERA
28. JAMES C WHITAKER
29. JAMES MORRIS
30. JEAN BROWN
31. JEANETTE OLSON
32. JEANNE BURNS
33. JOAN R LYONS
34. JOHN W BUTLER
35. JOYCE EVERINGHAM
36. JOYCE J ERICKSON
37. JUDITH KASZA
38. KATHLEEN I HAMAMOTO
39. LARELL PATTERSON
40. LINDA G MARTEL
41. LINDA HOUSE
42. LINDA S HENSON
43. LOLA NELSON

- 1 44. LUCILLE CANTU
- 2 45. MAGDELEINE HUNG
- 3 46. MARIANNE P MCKENNA
- 4 47. MARK R MULHOLLAND
- 5 48. MARLENE I JOHNSON
- 6 49. MARSINE F STRATTON
- 7 50. MARY A BLAYDES
- 8 51. MARY A HEISEL
- 9 52. MARY COOK
- 10 53. MARY L RUSS
- 11 54. MARY R ERICKSON
- 12 55. MAUREEN DONOHUE
- 13 56. MILLIE ROBITAILLE
- 14 57. NADJA M ORLUCK
- 15 58. NANCY KOZAK
- 16 59. NINGXIN XI
- 17 60. NORMAN LOUGHLIN
- 18 61. OLIVER STEPHENSON
- 19 62. PATIENCE CAMPBELL
- 20 63. PATRICIA M WHITLEY
- 21 64. PETER J MURAD
- 22 65. RANDY YORK
- 23 66. RICHARD D HOWARD
- 24 67. RITA BERGEVIN
- 25 68. ROBERT ELLIS
- 26 69. ROGER E TEMPLETON
- 27 70. ROGER HART
- 28 71. RON M FANNING
72. RONALD WALTON
73. ROSE M WILLIAMS
74. ROSS MAY
75. RUTH A JOHNSON
76. RUTH KORTE
77. SANDIA SOMMER
78. SARA DAVIS
79. SHIRLEY SOBCZAK
80. SHIRLEY STEPHENSON
81. STEPHANIE JARA
82. SUE CARTER
83. SUSAN RUNYAN
84. SYLVIA Y ALARCON
85. TAMARA VOGEL
86. THERESA CHENG
87. TIFFANY FELIX
88. TIM SERSHEN
89. VESTORIA JOHNSON
90. WILLIAM BILL MEHL
91. WILLIAM GELATKA

- 1 92. WILLIAM SCHERR
- 2 93. YONGQI ZHANG
- 3 94. YUNFEI ZHANG
- 4 95. YVONNE KMIECIK
- 5 96. ZHAN ZHU

4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 21, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing [Proposed] Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Awarding Attorneys’ Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Service Awards for Class Representatives with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List.

I further certify that I caused to be served the foregoing [Proposed] Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Awarding Attorneys’ Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Service Awards for Class Representatives via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Service List, and that there is regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the places so addressed.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 21, 2021.

*s/ Timothy G. Blood*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TIMOTHY G. BLOOD

BLOOD HURST & O’REARDON, LLP  
501 West Broadway, Suite 1490  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Tel: 619/338-1100  
619/338-1101 (fax)  
tblood@bholaw.com

*Gordon Noboru Yamagata; Stamatis F. Pelardis v. Reckitt Benckiser LLC*  
Northern District of California, No. 3:17-cv-03529-VC

**MAIL SERVICE LIST**

Troy S. Barry  
25527 Housman Pl.  
Stevenson Ranch, CA 91381

Cynthia Peterson  
822 Annkim Circle  
Gardnerville, NV 89460

Harriett E. Kostelecky  
16611 NW Pt Parkway C114  
Surprise, AZ 85374

Randolph and Vivian Reddick  
P.O. Box 1001  
Calhoun, GA 30703

Jeffrey Murkin  
26731 Patterson Drive  
Monroe, OR 97456